राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4—संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली—110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Nagaland/AE/Peren Dimapur Pkg 12/ 2021/697

01.02.2021

To

All the Technically Qualified Bidders

Sub: Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of

- (i) "Construction of 2 laning with Hard shoulder of Peren- Dimapur section on NH 129A from Design Km 109.494 to Km 126.775 (Length 17.281 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Package I) under NH(O)-TSP)
- (ii) "Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren Dimapur section on NH 129A from Design Km 126.775 to Km 146.208 (Length 19.433 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg II) under NH(O) TSP- Technical Evaluation reg.

Based on the Technical Evaluation, following is the evaluation result of bidders for the subject project:

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Remarks	Marks
1	M/s Centro DE Estudios DE Materiales Y Control DE Obra, SA (CEMOSA) JV M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association with Introsoft Solutions	Technically responsive	95.34
2	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in Joint Venture with ARMENGE Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Technically responsive	92.28
3	M/s Global Infra Solution Association Infycons Creative Software Pvt. Ltd.	Technically responsive	95.90



4	M/s Hexa Co. JV M/s SOWIL Limited Association with Casta Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Technically responsive	94.38
5	M/s LN Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically responsive	96.76
6	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	Technically responsive	95.68
7	M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd. JV National Engineering Office Private Limited	Technically responsive	92.70

- 2. A copy of the 2nd Minutes of Meeting of the Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) is also enclosed herewith for information of applicant bidders.
- 3. Accordingly, Financial bid of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 04.02.2021 at 1100 Hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3^{rd} Floor, PTI Building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110001

Encl: As above.

(A. K. Jha)

General Manager (Technical)

Email: gmnagaland.nhidcl@gmail.com

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

2nd **Minutes of Meeting** of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate Technical Proposals for "Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of

- (i) Construction of 2 laning with Hard shoulder of Peren- Dimapur section on NH 129A from Design Km 109.494 to Km 126.775 (Length 17.281 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Package I) under NH(O)- TSP)
- (ii) Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren Dimapur section on NH 129A from Design Km 126.775 to Km 146.450 (Length 19.675 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg II) under Bharatmala NH(O) TSP" held on 28.01.2021 at PTI Building, 3rd Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) vide its first meeting evaluated the technical proposals of the subject work. TEC in its 1st meeting has asked the bidders to submit their comments by uploading Technical score and Eligibility status on website. Consequent upon Approval of Competent Authority, the technical score of the bidders along with minutes of meeting were uploaded on the website to submit the clarification/representation if any by the consultant on or before 20.01.2021.

- 2. It was bought to the committee that during evaluation the marks of Resident Cum Highway Engineer and Senior Quality Cum Material Expert were inadvertently considered as 3 (three) instead of 6 (Six) the same have been corrected for all the bidders.
- 3. The details of query /representation received along with the decision of the committee are tabulated below:
- (i) M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra, SA (CEMOSA) JV M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association M/s Introsoft Solutions

S.No.	Description	Representation/	Decision of TEC
		observation of	
		committee	
1.	Marks given to Road Safety Expert- Mr. Mahesh chandra goyal	Consultant has represented that Team leader cum Senior Highway Engineer has to get 91 marks instead of 89.6 marks.	there is no change

(ii) M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in Joint Venture with ARMENGE Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

My AjoyA

M

tyle

Page 1 of 7

S.No.	Description	Representation/	Decision of TEC
5.110.	Description	observation of	5.00 C-0-0-0-0 C-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0
		committee	
1.	Experience Technology inspection	Consultant has represented that Firm has experience of use of technology	TEC has examined the representation submitted by the consultant. It was observed that the consultant has submitted only the summary of the experience in the use of technology of Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD), Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit (MBIU), Retro Reflectometer and ATCC and did not submitted the completion certificate from the authority in the submitted Bid. The consultant represented that "Due to Large file size of our technical proposal, we have missed out Project Data Sheet and Experience Certificate Pertaining to the Lead Partner i.e. M/s Feedback Infra Pvt. Ltd. during file Completion/Uploading of the Technical Proposal in the e tendering Portal." The committee has examined and
			(a) FWD- Pg 538-2, 538-6, 538-8 are considered where as pg 538-4 not considered as the certificate is from private concessionaire/contractor as per RFP section 2 Data Sheet (ii) Note B stated that "The experience of the associate firms in use of technology shall also be counted in the evaluation. The experience of firm or associate firm in NSV or equivalent technology, FWD or equivalent technology and Retro reflectometer or equivalent technology shall be supported by

My Ajony A

m

He

			experience certificate. The experience of a firm/associate firm for a private concessionaire/contractor shall not be considered." (b) MBIU- Pg 538-11 considered whereas pg 538-11 not considered as the consultant has submitted LOA instead of Experience certificate. (c) Retro Reflectometer - Pg- 538-17, 538-19, 538-21 are
-			considered (d) ATCC- Not required Accordingly, marks have been
			revised and 2 marks have been increased.
2.	Marks given to Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer -Mr. Ramesh Kumar Sharma	represented that Team leader cum	TEC has examined and considered the projects mentioned at S.No 13 of CV on infracon and accordingly increased 2 marks.

(iii) M/s Global Infra Solution Association Infycons Creative Software Pvt.

S.No.	Description	Representation/	Decision of TEC
		observation of	
		committee	
1.		Consultant has	TEC has examined
	Marks given to Team Leader	represented that Team	and considered the
	cum Senior Highway Engineer -	leader cum Senior	projects mentioned
	Mr. MVS Seshu Kumar		at S.No 14 of CV on
		to get 91.5 marks	
		instead of 89.5 marks	considered for s.no
			2(b) and
			accordingly
			increased 2 marks
2.	Marks given to Resident Cum		
	Highway Engineer- Mr. Zakir		
	Husen	Resident Cum Highway	
		Engineer has to get 91	
		marks instead of 90	
		marks	considered for s.no

AjayA

Ltd

my the

Page 3 of 7

			2(iv) and
			accordingly
			increased 1 marks.
3.	J		TEC has examined
	Bridge/Structural Engineer-	represented that	and considered the
	Mr. Jainendra Kumar Singh	Bridge/Structural	projects mentioned
		Engineer has to get 91	at S.No 19 of CV on
		marks instead of 90	infracon has been
		marks	considered for s.no
			2(v) and accordingly
			increased 1 marks.
4.	Marks given to Senior	Consultant has	TEC has examined
	Pavement Specialist - Mr.	represented that Senior	and found that
	Prithwi Raj Achariya	Pavement Specialist has	there is no change
		to get 91 marks instead	in marks.
		of 90 marks	

(iv) M/s Hexa Co. JV M/s SOWil Limited Association with Casta Engineering Pvt. Ltd.

S.No.	Description	Representation/	Decision of ETEC
		observation of	
		committee	
1	The state of the s	5	TEC has examined
	Technology for road inspection		
		has experience of use	
		of technology has to get	
		19 marks instead of 16	147 (147, 149 are
		marks	same project
			completion
			certificate), 151,
			153 of completion
			certificate
			submitted on the
			Bid which has been
			considered for
			Experience in use of
			technology for
			Mobile Bridge
			Inspection Unit and
			accordingly
			increased 2 marks

(v) M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd. JV National Engineering Office Private Limited

My Ajouph

my tyl

Page 4 of 7

S.No.	Description	Representation/	Decision of ETEC
		observation of	
		committee	
1	Experience in use of		TEC has examined
	Technology for road inspection	represented that Firm	and found that
		has experience of use	there is no change
		of technology has to get	in marks.
		18 marks instead of 17	
		marks	

4. Considering Para 3 above, the revised evaluation is tabulated as under:

SI. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience of the firm (Total Score 40)	Evaluation criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection (Total Score20)	Qualificat ions and competen ce of the key staff for the assignme nt (Total Score 40)		Contracts awarded in NHIDCL (as per clause 23 of the RFP)	Remarks
1	M/s Centro DE Estudios DE Materiales Y Control DE Obra, SA (CEMOSA) JV M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association with Introsoft Solutions	40	19	36.34	95.34	2- Nagaland (CEMOSA & Pioneer)	Qualified
2	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in Joint Venture with ARMENGE Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	40	16	36.28	92.28	1- Manipur (Feedback) 1- Arunachal Pradesh (ARMENGE)	Qualified

My AjayA

my till

3	M/s Global Infra Solution Association Infycons Creative Software Pvt. Ltd.	39.5	20	36.40	95.90	1- Manipur	Qualified
4	M/s Hexa Co. JV M/s SOWIL Limited Association with Casta Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	40	18	36.38	94.38	1- Manipur (Hexa) 2- Manipur (SOWIL) 1- Manipur (Casta)	Qualified
5	M/s LN Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	40	20	36.76	96.76	1- Nagaland	Qualified
6	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	40	20	35.68	95.68	1- Assam	Qualified
7	M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd. JV National Engineering Office Private Limited	39	17	36.70	92.70	2- Nagaland (Planning & Infrastructur al Development)	Qualified

5. In view of the above, committee recommends that Financial bids of the following technically qualified firms with their respective technical score to be opened after 3 days with the approval of Competent Authority.

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Score	Remarks
1	M/s Centro DE Estudios DE Materiales Y Control DE Obra, SA (CEMOSA) JV M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association with Introsoft Solutions	95.34	Qualified

Japa Ajagra

my the

Page 6 of 7

2	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in Joint Venture with ARMENGE Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.28	Qualified
3	M/s Global Infra Solution Association Infycons Creative Software Pvt. Ltd.	95.90	Qualified
4	M/s Hexa Co. JV M/s SOWIL Limited Association with Casta Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	94.38	Qualified
5	M/s LN Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	96.76	Qualified
6	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	95.68	Qualified
7	M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd. JV National Engineering Office Private Limited	92.70	Qualified

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Ajay Ahluwalia

Convener

AK Jha,

GM(T)

Member

B. Shiv Prasad GM (T)

Member

Bhaskar Mallick Manager (Finance)

Member