राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4—संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली—110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/AE/ImphalKohima(Pkg-4and5)/NH-39/2020/1803 Date: 31.12.2020

Sub: 2nd Call CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

- (i) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane Road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from TaphuKuki to Daili section (Pkg 4A) of Imphal-Kohima road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km 262+175 to Km 274+610) in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode.
- (ii) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane Road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Daili to Kuraopokpi section (Pkg-4B) of Imphal Kohima Road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km 274+610 to Km 287+000) in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode.
- (iii) Improvement/ Up-gradation to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Kuraopokpi to Sekmai section (Pkg-5A) of Imphal Kohima Road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km 287+000 to Km 297+700) in the state of Manipur.
- (iv) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Sekmai to Nilkhuthi section (Pkg-5B) of Imphal Kohima Road (Design Chainage from Km 297+700 to Km 308+460) of NH-39 in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode." reg- Result of Technical evaluation of Bids

Ref: 2020_NHIDC_589654_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsiveness of the Participated Bidders is as under:

Sr. No.		the assignment	use of technology for road inspection	Qualification and competence of the key staff for the assignment (40 marks)	Total (100 Marks)	Remarks
1.	M/s ISAN Corporation in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Shree Bhawani Consultancy Service Pvt. Ltd.		20	34.25	94.25	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.		19	34.90	88.90	Technically Responsive

2. Financial Bids will be opened on 31.12.2020 at 1600Hr.at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

(K. C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

2nd Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate the Technical bids for 2nd Call CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

(i) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane Road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from TaphuKuki to Daili section (Pkg 4A) of Imphal-Kohima road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km 262+175 to Km 274+610) in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode.

(ii) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane Road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Daili to Kuraopokpi section (Pkg-4B) of Imphal Kohima Road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km

274+610 to Km 287+000) in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode.

(iii) Improvement/ Up-gradation to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Kuraopokpi to Sekmai section (Pkg-5A) of Imphal Kohima Road (NH-39) (Design Chainage from Km 287+000 to Km 297+700) in the state of Manipur.

(iv) Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Sekmai to Nilkhuthi section (Pkg-5B) of Imphal Kohima Road (Design Chainage from Km 297+700 to Km 308+460) of NH-39 in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode.- held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 30.12.2020.

The RFP for the subject work was invited for the 2nd time on 09.10.2020 with Bid due date 17.11.2020 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 18.11.2020.

- Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online 2. through the CPP portal on the scheduled date and time. No Representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.
- Accordingly, the committee carried out the technical evaluation and uploaded 3. the technical scores of all the bidders for their comments/clarification on 24.12.2020. The technical score for the subject work are as given below:-

Con	ler Name: M/s ISAN sultancy Pvt. Ltd. sultancy Service F	Corporation in JV with M/s Cha in Association with M/s Shree E Pvt. Ltd.	Max Points Scored 40 40 40 personnel 20 19 40 33.54 Projects under NHIDCL 10 9.10 91 2 (M/s Chaitanya			
Sr. No.	*	Particulars			Marks of	Due!s steem I
1	Relevant experience	for the assignment				
2	Experience in use of	technology for road inspection	20	19		
3		mpetence of the key staff for the	40			
	Gobin ch Saikia	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	9.10	91	-
	Sanjay Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 1	3	2.73	91	

Ship Lau

Page 1 of 8

Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 2	3	2.68	89.33	Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.)
Laishram Mani Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	3	2.7	90	~
Anil Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist 1	3	2.73	91	
Ashish Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist 2	3	2.73	91	
R K Saikia	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	3	2.73	91	
Kumar Indu Shekhar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	3	2.62	87.49	
Mohammed Faiem	Road Safety Expert	3	2.61	87	
Rayees Ahmad	Tunnel Design Engineer	3	1.38	46	
Haresh K Singh	Senior Geotechnical Expert	3	1.53	51	10
	1	otal Marks	92.54		

Sr. No		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks of	Projects
1	Relevant experience for the	assignment	40	34.50	key personnel	under NHIDCL
2	Experience in use of techno	logy for road inspection	20	19		
3	Qualifications and competer	nce of the key staff for the assignment	40	34.84		
	Pravinkumar Achalraj Bhansali	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	9.10	91	
	Bidhan Madhukar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 1	3	2.73	91	
	G. Laxmi Narayana Reddy	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 2	3	2.73	91	
	Deepak Mondal	Bridge / Structural Engineer	3	2.73	91	
	B. Venkateswara Rao	Senior Pavement Specialist 1	3	2.73	91	1
	Maddasani Srinivasa Reddy	Senior Pavement Specialist 2	3	2.7	90	(M/s SOWiL
	Rajesh Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	3	2.73	91	
	Anup Kumar Mishra	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	3	2.73	91	
	Mohd.Hedayatullah	Road Safety Expert	3	3	100	
	Reza Ahmadifard	Tunnel Design Engineer	3	1.98	66	
	Majid Sarrami	Senior Geotechnical Expert	3	1.68	56	
	L	To	otal Marks	88.34		

le

(m)

My Jour

- 4. In response to HQ NHIDCL letter 1780 dated 24.12.2020, "M/s ISAN Corporation in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Shree Bhawani Consultancy Service Pvt. Ltd." vide letter no. ISAN/AE-Manipur/19-20/03, dated 30.12.2020 & "M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd." vide letter no. HEXA/IMP/KOI/AE/GM/2020/117, dated 26.12.2020 submitted their observation and clarification against experience of the Firm & Key Staff.
- 5. The clarification submitted by the above bidders have been re-examined by the Committee and accordingly the score has been revised:-

Bidder	Name: M/s ISAN Corporation in JV with M/s	s Chaitanya Pro	jects Consultan	cy Pvt. Ltd. in				
No. by consultant marks by client Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection To pavement inspection Remarks:-Bidder claimed 7 marks for Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) better technology for pavement inspection. The projects claimed by the bidder been re-examined and found that out of 7 projects 5 were already considered in ear evaluation. However, 1 project has now been considered as the bidder actually use the technology. Accordingly, the same has been considered & marks revised. Tunnel Design Engineer: Experience in tunnel design (Road/ Rail/ Metro) works in similar capacity. The bidder claimed 3 nos. of project out of whice project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 projects has not been considered as one is of supervision and in other project the key personnel worked as Resident Engineer which was not falling under the similar capacity mentioned in RFP. Accordingly, the key personnel have less than 12 years experience in tunnel design. Hence, there will be no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro) of more than 4 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project). The bidder has claimed total 4 nos.	Final Score (after re- presentation)							
	(NSV) or better technology for	7		,				
1 F the state of t	Remarks:-Bidder claimed 7 marks for Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection. The projects claimed by the bidder has been re-examined and found that out of 7 projects 5 were already considered in earlier evaluation. However, 1 project has now been considered as the bidder actually used the technology. Accordingly, the same has been considered & marks revised.							
	Tunnel Design Engineer:							
		10	0	0				
2	Remarks:-The bidder claimed 10 marks in Experience in tunnel design (Road/ Rail/ Metro) works in similar capacity. The bidder claimed 3 nos. of project out of which 1 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 projects have not been considered as one is of supervision and in other project the key personnel has worked as Resident Engineer which was not falling under the similar capacity mentioned in RFP. Accordingly, the key personnel have less than 12 years of experience in tunnel design. Hence, there will be no change in marks.							
	(NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection Remarks:-Bidder claimed 7 marks for Experience in Network Survey Vehibetter technology for pavement inspection. The projects claimed by the been re-examined and found that out of 7 projects 5 were already consider evaluation. However, 1 project has now been considered as the bidder at the technology. Accordingly, the same has been considered & marks revised. Tunnel Design Engineer: Experience in tunnel design (Road/ Rail/ Metro) works in similar capacity Remarks:-The bidder claimed 10 marks in Experience in tunnel design (Metro) works in similar capacity. The bidder claimed 3 nos. of project out project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project has already been considered in earlier evaluation. Remaining 2 project the key personnel have less than 1 experience in tunnel design. Hence, there will be no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro) of more than 4 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project) Remarks:-The bidder claimed 15 marks in Experience in similar capacity Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro) of more	11						
2	Remarks:-The bidder claimed 15 marks in Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro) of more than 4 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project). The bidder has claimed total 4 nos. of projects mentioned at Sr. No. 5, 7, 10 & 12. Further to clarification submitted by the							

be

m

And Joseph

Page 3 of 8

	Bidder Name: M/s ISAN Corporation in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in						
	ation with M/s Shree Bhawani Consultancy Ser			T			
Sr.	Description		CONTRACTOR STREET				
No.		by consultant	Treatment and the second second	,			
	hidder CV of the key personnel has been re	a avaminad & fo		presentation)			
		Shree Bhawani Consultancy Service Pvt. Ltd. Score Claimed by consultant Assessed marks by client Presentat					
	was not falling under the similar capacity	mentioned in RI	FP Project at S	Final Score (after representation) It at Sr. No. 5 Ingineer which It. No. 10 is of It e now been 8.5 capacity in generation of the bidder has It. Further to examined & tunnel is less ered. Projecting factor was dingly, marks			
	supervision, hence not considered. Pro-	ject at Sr. No	o. 7 & 12 hav	ve now been			
	considered. Accordingly, marks have been	revised.					
	Experience in similar capacity in			T			
	construction/ construction supervision						
	projects involving design/ design review	Score Claimed by consultant Score Claimed by consultant Assessed marks by client present	8.5				
4							
	construction/ construction supervision procured to the submitted by the bidder CV of found that project at Sr. No. 6 cannot be than 4 Km. Project at Sr. No. 9 is of DPR, hat Sr. No. 13 has not been considered as less than 4 Km. Project at Sr. No. 8 & 11 have been revised.	rojects involvin year experience ed at Sr. No. 6 f the key persore considered as nence same has length of proje	g design/ design in project). To so, 8, 9, 11 & 1 and has been rotated the length of not been considert after applying	gn review of he bidder has 3. Further to e-examined & tunnel is less lered. Project ng factor was			
	Senior Geotechnical Expert:		tii				
3	Post Graduate in Rock Mechanics/ Foundation Engineering/ Tunnel Engineering	4	0	4			
3							

Sr. No.	Description	Score Claimed by consultant	Assessed marks by client	Final Score (after re- presentation)
	In hand DPRs of Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress)	10	5	5
1	Remarks:-Bidder claimed marks for Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects". Furthe the projects claimed by the bidder have considered. However, remaining projects I	r to clarification been re-exami	marks (after report of presentation of present	the bidder, ect has been

my My Joseph

Page 4 of 8

Bidder Pvt. Ltd		imited in Associ	ation with M/s Ca	asta Engineers
Sr. No.	Description	Score Claimed by consultant	Assessed marks by client	Final Score (after re- presentation)
	or agreement. Also, Bidder has claimed exbeen considered as per clause 14 (A) & (B) RFP. As per RFP, to obtain total 10 mark	kperience of Ass of Section-1 (I s bidder should	fore Claimed (after reby client) The consultant (after reby clien	which has not onsultants) of n 5 projects.
	Experience in Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority' Engineer of 4 lane Tunnel having length of more than 270 meter in last 7 years	by consultant by consultant by client by client by client by client by client by cendent Engineer, Authority Engineer, Minutes of meeting attached instead of LOA preement. Also, Bidder has claimed experience of Associate partner which has not considered as per clause 14 (A) & (B) of Section-1 (Information to Consultants) of As per RFP, to obtain total 10 marks bidder should have more than 5 projects. Supervision/ endent Engineer/ Authority' eer of 4 lane Tunnel having length re than 270 meter in last 7 years arks:- Bidder claimed 1.5 marks for Experience in Project Supervision/ bendent Engineer/ Authority' Engineer of 4 lane Tunnel having length of more 270 meter in last 7 years. The projects claimed by the bidder have been reliated and 1 another project has now been considered since the experience ficate attached in technical bid was not clear. Further to clarification, same has been verified from Infracon Portal and found satisfactory. Hence, marks have been ed. Bel Design Engineer: Graduate in Engineering (Structural) 4 0 0 0 arks:-The bidder claimed 4 marks in Post-Graduate in Engineering. After relianation, it has been observed that the Post-Graduation has been done with alization in Soil & Foundation instead of Structural which is not required as per 16. Hence, there will be no change in marks. Fience in tunnel design (Road/ Rail/ 10 8 10 0) works in similar capacity. Further to clarification, CV of the key personnel reliance & found that there was typographical error & the same has now been coted. Accordingly, the key personnel have more than 15 years of experience in the particular criteria. Hence, marks have been revised. Fience in similar capacity in Project aration/ DPR involving design of else (Road/Rail/Metro) of more than 4 11 0 0 0 ength (Min. 1 year experience in a line of the project aration/ Dra more than 4 11 0 0 0 ength (Min. 1 year experience in a line of the project aration/ Dra more than 4 11 10 0 0 ength (Min. 1 year experience in a line of the project aration/ Dra more than 4 11		
2	Independent Engineer/ Authority' Engineer than 270 meter in last 7 years. The properamined and 1 another project has not certificate attached in technical bid was a second to be a second	er of 4 lane Tu jects claimed b ow been consi not clear. Furth	unnel having ler by the bidder h dered since the er to clarificati	ngth of more ave been re- e experience on, same has
	Tunnel Design Engineer:	4	0	0
No. Independent or agreemed been considered RFP. As performed that the second of more that the second of more than 270 mexamined accertificate also been were vised. Tunnel Desember Post-Graduate Remarks:-Texamination specialization the RFP. Here Experience Metro) work Remarks:-Texamined accorrected. Under the performed tunnels (Rokm length project) Remarks:-Texamined accorrected. Under the performed tunnels (Rokm length project) Remarks:-Texamined accorrected. Under the performed tunnels (Rokm length project) Remarks:-Texamined accorrected. Under the performed tunnels (Rokm length project)	Remarks:-The bidder claimed 4 marks examination, it has been observed that specialization in Soil & Foundation instea	the Post-Grad d of Structural	uation has bee	n done with
	Experience in tunnel design (Road/ Rail/ Metro) works in similar capacity	10	8	10
	Metro) works in similar capacity. Further examined & found that there was typog corrected. Accordingly, the key personne	to clarification, graphical error el have more t	CV of the key & the same han han 15 years o	personnel re- as now been
	Experience in similar capacity in Project Preparation/ DPR involving design of tunnels (Road/Rail/Metro)of more than 4 km length (Min. 1 year experience in a project)	11	0	0
	However, project mentioned at Sr. No. evaluation. Project at Sr. No. 5 was of Cor	1 have already struction Super	been consider vision & in proje	ed in earlier ect at Sr. No.

my MM

Page 5 of 8

Bidder Pvt. Ltd	Name: M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Li	mited in Associa	ation with M/s Ca	asta Engineers
Sr. No.	Description	Score Claimed by consultant	Assessed marks by client	Final Score (after re- presentation)
	been considered. Hence, no change in mar	ks.	-	
	Experience in similar capacity in construction/construction supervision projects involving design/design review of tunnels of more than 4 Km length (Min. 1 year experience in project)	by consultant by client presentation idered. Hence, no change in marks. e in similar capacity in on/construction supervision involving design/design review so of more than 4 Km length ar experience in project) The bidder claimed 8.5 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4 & project mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has already been considered in earling. Project at Sr. No. 4 was of Feasibility/Preliminary Study & in project at St. On the tunnel was less than 4 Km. Therefore, all the claimed projects have been considered. Hence, no change in marks. otechnical Expert: e in Similar Capacity e as Geotechnical engineer or capacity in construction/ on supervision of at least 4 projects(Road/Rail/Metro) of all to or more than 4 km The bidder claimed 17 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4, 8 & 1 projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2 & 8 were DPR projects. Actual length is not been mentioned in project at Sr. No.4. In project at Sr. No. 12 length is not been mentioned in marks. e as Geotechnical engineer or capacity in design/ projects in of tunnel projects in funnel projects in of tunnel projects in St. No. 3 & 7 were Construction Supervision projects. In project at Sr. No. Sr. No. 3 & 7 were Construction Supervision projects. In project at Sr. No.	0	
	However, project mentioned at Sr. No. evaluation. Project at Sr. No. 4 was of Fea No. 9 length of the tunnel was less than 4	by consultant by client (after representation) Hence, no change in marks. Similar capacity in cruction supervision design/design review 8.5 0 0 0 der chan 4 Km length lence in project) der claimed 8.5 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4 & 9. mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has already been considered in earlier at Sr. No. 4 was of Feasibility/Preliminary Study & in project at Sr. et unnel was less than 4 Km. Therefore, all the claimed projects has led. Hence, no change in marks. Cal Expert: Lar Capacity obtechnical engineer or in construction/rvision of at least 4 (Road/Rail/Metro) of more than 4 km Der claimed 17 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4, 8 & 12. mentioned at Sr. No. 2 & 8 were DPR projects. Actual length of nonentioned in project at Sr. No.4. In project at Sr. No. 12 length of ses than 4 Km. Therefore, all the claimed projects has not been in design/ project tunnel projects of at least 4 km length Der claimed 15 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 1, 3, 7 & 11. ength of tunnel has not been mentioned in project at Sr. No. 1. 3 & 7 were Construction Supervision projects. In project at Sr. No. 1. 3		
4	Senior Geotechnical Expert:			
	Experience in Similar Capacity	×		
Experience construction/ projects invo of tunnels of (Min. 1 year of Remarks:-Th However, prevaluation. Prevaluation. Prevaluation. Prevaluation of the sent construction tunnel problems of tunnel proble	similar capacity in construction/ construction supervision of at least 4	17	0	0
	been considered. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in construction/construction supervision projects involving design/design review of tunnels of more than 4 Km length (Min. 1 year experience in project) Remarks:-The bidder claimed 8.5 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4 However, project mentioned at Sr. No. 2 has already been considered in evaluation. Project at Sr. No. 4 was of Feasibility/Preliminary Study & in project No. 9 length of the tunnel was less than 4 Km. Therefore, all the claimed project not been considered. Hence, no change in marks. Senior Geotechnical Expert: Experience in Similar Capacity Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in construction/construction supervision of at least 4 tunnel projects(Road/Rail/Metro) of length equal to or more than 4 km Remarks:-The bidder claimed 17 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2, 4, 8 However, projects mentioned at Sr. No. 2 & 8 were DPR projects. Actual leng tunnel has not been mentioned in project at Sr. No.4. In project at Sr. No. 12 leng the tunnel was less than 4 Km. Therefore, all the claimed projects has not considered. Hence, no change in marks. Experience as Geotechnical engineer or similar capacity in design/project preparation of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro) of at least 4 km length Remarks:-The bidder claimed 15 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 1, 3, 7 & However, Actual length of tunnel has not been mentioned in project at Sr. Noroject at Sr. No. 3 & 7 were Construction Supervision projects. In project at Sr. 11 the length of the tunnel was less than 4 Km Therefore, all the claimed project	ual length of . 12 length of		
	similar capacity in design/ project preparation of tunnel projects	15	0	0
	However, Actual length of tunnel has no Project at Sr. No. 3 & 7 were Construction	t been mentior n Supervision pi I Km Therefore,	ned in project a rojects. In proje	at Sr. No. 1. ect at Sr. No.

le

m

Ship Jane

6. Accordingly, based on the clarification of the bidder, the technical bid has been examined and final summary of the technical evaluation/scores has been updated as given below:-

Sr. No.	sultancy Service Pvt	Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks of	Projects under
1	Relevant experience for	the assignment	40	40	key personnel	NHIDCL
2	Experience in use of tec	hnology for road inspection	20	20		
3	Qualifications and comp assignment	etence of the key staff for the	40	34.25		
	Gobin ch Saikia	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	9.10	91	
	Sanjay Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 1	3	2.73	91	
	Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 2	3	2.68	89.33	
	Laishram Mani Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	3	2.7	90	
	Anil Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist 1	3	2.73	91	2
	Ashish Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist 2	3	2.73	91	(M/s Chaitanya
	R K Saikia	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	3	2.73	91	Projects Consultancy
	Kumar Indu Shekhar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	3	2.62	87.49	Pvt. Ltd.)
	Mohammed Faiem	Road Safety Expert	3	2.61	87	
	Rayees Ahmad	Tunnel Design Engineer	3	1.965	65.5	
	Haresh K Singh	Senior Geotechnical Expert	3	1.65	55	

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks of key personnel 0 91	Projects	
1	Relevant experience for the Experience in use of technology.	ne assignment	40	40 35		under NHIDCL	
2	Experience in use of tech	nology for road inspection	20	19	personner	INTIDOL	
3	Qualifications and compe	ence of the key staff for the assignment	40	34.90			
	Pravinkumar Achalraj Bhansali	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	9.10	91	1	
	Bidhan Madhukar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 1	3	2.73	91	(M/s SOWiL)	

h

my

Shell front

Page **7** of **8**

	To	tal Marks	88.90		
Majid Sarrami	Senior Geotechnical Expert	3	1.68	56	
Reza Ahmadifard	Tunnel Design Engineer	3	2.04	68	
Mohd.Hedayatullah	Road Safety Expert	3	3	100	
Anup Kumar Mishra	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	3	2.73	91	
Rajesh Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	3	2.73	91	
Maddasani Srinivasa Reddy	Senior Pavement Specialist 2	3	2.7	90	
B. Venkateswara Rao	Senior Pavement Specialist 1	3	2.73	91	
Deepak Mondal	Bridge / Structural Engineer	3	2.73	91	
G. Laxmi Narayana Reddy	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer 2	3	2.73	91	

7. In light of the above stated facts, Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) have deliberated in detail and recommended to upload the final technical scores on Infracon, NHIDCL website & CPP Portal and the Committee recommended to open Financial Bids with approval of the Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with yote of thanks to the chair.

W. Blah

(ED-V)

Convener

K.C Bhatt,

DGM(T)

Member Secretary/

Presenting Officer

Col. B. Shivprasad,

GM (T)

Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Finance)

Member