# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4—संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली—110001

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Civil Work/A.P/ Dembuen to Bruni /2020/2925

Date- 10.12.2020

To,

All Respective Bidders,

Sub: "Construction of high altitude hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from km 0.000 to km 36.300 in Anini district of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC mode".

Reference Tender ID: 2020\_NHIDC\_591918\_1 Sir,

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation dated 10.12.2020 is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited	Technically Responsive
2	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 11.12.2020 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI Building,4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Yours Sincerely,

GM(T)

# National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (Technical Division)

# Minutes of Meeting Of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)

3<sup>rd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Construction Construction of high altitude hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from km 0.000 to km 36.300 in Anini district of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC mode" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 10.12.2020.

1. Consequent upon the approval of Competent Authority for Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) 2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes dated 07.12.2020, the following bidders were declared technically responsive and financial bid of the subject project was scheduled to be open on 11.12.2020.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited
2	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited
3	M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure
4	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited

- 2. It was brought to the notice of Committee that the financial bid of: "Construction of high altitude hill road from Tedadege to Henkaer from km 0.000 to km 28.550 in West Siang district of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC was opened on 10.12.2020 at wherein M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure was declared as L-1 bidder.
- 3. The Committee was also informed that M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s RMN Infrastructure Limited is already executing the project of "Construction of two lane with hard shoulder of Kohima-Jessami Road on NH-29 (Old NH-150) from existing km 53.220 (near Kikruma Village) to existing km 76.320 (near Mesulumi Village) [ Design Km 51.5 to design Km 74.2] [Design Length 22.7 Km] in the state of Nagaland Under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC Mode (Package III)" and the work is in progress.
- **4.** As per clause 2.1.15 of RFP "The bidder including individual or any of its JV member, who are either having 2 (two) on-going EPC Project(s) in NHIDCL or on-going Project(s) worth of ₹ 500 Crore (Awarded Cost) or more in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this Project (Issuance of LOA will be considered as on-going project)".
- 5. Since M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited has 2 projects under them, hence the bid of M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure is not eligible on account of Clause 2.1.15 of RFP for the above subject work and their financial bid should not be opened as stated above.

the Imp food of

6. Accordingly, the Committee recommends to open the financial bid of the 3 (Three) technically responsive bidders on 11.12.2020 after the approval of Competent Authority.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited
2	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited
3	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair

W. Blah

Executive Director (GM-Tech)

Chairman

A. K. Singh

Member

Bhaskar Mallick

Manager -Fin.

Member

#### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for :" Construction of high altitude hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from km 0.000 to km 36.300 in Anini district of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC mode held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 07.12.2020.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 19.11.2020 at 1100 hrs.

- 2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.
  - (i) M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited
  - (ii) M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited
  - (iii) M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited
  - (iv) M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited
  - (v) M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure
- 3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 374.70 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	374.70
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	374.70
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	224.82
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	74.94
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	56.21
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	18.74
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	18.74
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	18.74
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.24
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.75
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	56.21
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	33.72
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.24
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	187.35
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	112.41
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	37.47

AgayA

Agh

- 4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.
- **5.** Committee was informed that there was a complaint regarding genuineness of certificate of work "2 laning from Yingkiong to Gobuk from Km. 0.00 (Yingkiong) to 25.200 (Gobuk) (Existing Ch. 0.00 to 26.00) (Net Length -25.200 Km) in Arunachal Pradesh under Arunachal Pradesh Package of SARDP-NE" issued by Executive Engineer, Pasighat enclosed by M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure. The same has been verified through GM(P) Roing and Executive Engineer Pasighat vide letter dated 26.11.2020 has confirmed that the certificate has been issued and signed by him.
- 6. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on 20.11.2020, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 07.12.2020. Some of the bidder has not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate however the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN No which indicate the turnover on the UDIN Portal.	The bidder has claimed Technical Threshold Capacity as 406.35 Cr. However, it was observed that the project code "G & H" does not qualify being less than 5% as per clause section 2 clause 2.2.2.6 (c) of RFP. Further project code "F" the bidder has the receivable
		(ii) POA refers to "National Highway Authority of India" instead of National Highway & Infrastructural Development Corporation Limited. Please clarify	submitted the corrected POA.	value of FY 2014-15, which is not to be considered as per Appendix -1A Annexure -IV of RFP.  The total Technical Threshold Capacity evaluated of the bidder is 331.09 Cr, which is less than the required technical threshold capacity i.e. 374.70 Cr. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive
2	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited	(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted the certificate from the Authority.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

A jough

1

Am.



		(ii) As per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.3 (1) the balance sheet of FY 2019-20 for turnover and net worth could not be located .Please clarify	(ii) Bidder has submitted the Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20 for turnover and net worth.	
		(iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	(iii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN No which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.	
3	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	a) M/s VAG Buildtech Limited (i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	a) M/s VAG Buildtech Limited  (i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN No which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as
		(ii) As per Appendix X submitted, the total net worth for the FY 2018-19 is 22.39 cr. It is observed that on UDIN ICAI portal and Audited Balance sheet of FY 2018-19 the net worth is shown as 21.99 cr. Please clarify	(ii) The bidder has submitted the clarification on the net worth and the same have been observed on the UDIN portal.	Technically responsive.
		(iii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	(iii) The bidder has submitted the certificate from the Authority.	
		b) M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	c) M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	
		(i) Notes for Audited Balance sheet for FY 2016- 17, 2015-16 are not located. Please clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted notes for the audited balance sheet for FY 2016-17, 2015-16.	
		(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3 it was observed that experience certificate from the	(ii) The bidder has submitted the certificate from the Authority.	

Ajan

pe-

my Za

		authority are not located .Please identify the page number and clarify.		No.
4	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India} Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited	Infrastructure Alliance (India} Private limited	a) M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India} Private limited  (i) The bidder has submitted the certificate from the Authority.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
		b) M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited  (i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.  (ii) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III is not visible. Please Clarify.	b) M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited (i) The bidder has submitted the certificate from the Authority.  (ii) The bidder has submitted the details of the bank for Annexure III.	
		(iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	iii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN No which reflect breakup of the receivable value of the civil work for the entire projects.	
5	M/s Sudhakara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructures	<ul><li>Infrastructures</li><li>(i) For consideration of single work under</li></ul>	a) M/s LNS Infrastructures  i) The bidder has clarified the project category for the submitted project.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

AjayA & am

- The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as 7. Annexure -I.
- The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive	0
2	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
3	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure	Technically Responsive	SIPL - 1 NAGALAND
5	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive	0

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 4 technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

(ED-I)

Chairman

A.K.Singh

(GM-Tech)

Member

Bhaskar Mallick

Manager -Fin.

Member

	Summary of Financial Evaluation								
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR Crores)		Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requireme nt			
1.	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited	SE	-	23.69 Cr	78.09 Cr	Υ			
2.	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited	SE	-	23.86 Cr	115.33 Cr	Υ			
3.	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	JV	60-40	Lead - 21.99 Cr Other- 28.08 Cr	Lead- 185.97 Cr Other - 111.72 Cr				
4.	M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure	JV	80-20	Lead - 52.38 Cr Other- 6.36 Cr	Lead- 192.04 Cr Other - 23.11 Cr				
5.	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Private limited JV M/s PBA Infrastructure Limited	JV	60-40	Lead- 144.25 Cr Other - 13.71 Cr	Lead- 261.19 Cr Other - 98.15 Cr	Υ			

A jays on

# **Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment**

## Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 187.35 Crore

				Calculate	d / Assessed				
S No	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover X Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	Whether Qualifying or Not
1	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited		1	124.83	124.83	2	57.1	567.0 5	Yes
2	M/s KRC Infraprojects Private Limited	2018	1	207.30	207.30	2	63.7	972.7 5	Yes
3	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	e e			*				
	M/s VAG Buildtech Limited	2017	1.05	192.73	202.46	2	208. 46	803.3 7	Yes
	M/s Shrusthi Contech Private Limited	2017	1.05	132.01	138.62	2	82.4 9	610.5	Yes
							Total	1413. 93	
4	M/s Sudkhara Infratech Private Limited JV M/s LNS Infrastructure						,		

Ajays & my

	M/s Sudkhara								Yes
	Infratech Private Limited	2010	1	207.42	207.42		222.00	1199.7	
	The Court of the C	2018	1	306.12	306.12	2	330.88	2	
	M/s LNS	2046							Yes
	Infrastructure	2016	1.15	44.88	51.61	2	0	258.06	
								1457.	¥0
	11.7						Total	78	
	M/s Overseas								
	Infrastructure								
-	Alliance (India)								
5	Private limited JV								
	M/s PBA								
	Infrastructure								
	Limited								
			<i>(</i> )						(*)
	M/s Overseas								Yes
	Infrastructure								163
	Alliance (India)								
	Private limited							524.1	
	POLICE SE SESSE SE SERVER. EL CONTROLES PLOS NAVOS POLICE.	2015	1.15	183.81	211.38	2	532.72	9	
	M/s PBA								Yes
	Infrastructure								
	Limited							595.6	
		2015	1.2	108.77	130.52	2	0	2	
								1119.	
							Total	81	

Agh

jough

m